UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,471	10/15/2003	Lin Wang	006401.00417	8885
22908 BANNER & W	7590 03/16/200 TTCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
TEN SOUTH V	VACKER DRIVE	HUSON, MONICA ANNE		
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/687,471	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MONICA A. HUSON	1791	

M	ONICA A. HUSON	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFF periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of A lies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this , or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount of tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a			
	prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered because			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corn NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	responding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar 	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea nd was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails to provide a e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation o REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the status of the claims after en	try is below or attached.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but do See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PT13. ☐ Other:	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant contends that since the Board did not uphold the rejections in 09/863829, the rejections based on the same referenes should be withdrawn in the current application. This is not persuasive because the current application's claims are product-by-process claims (not method claims as in 09/863928), and therefore the reasoning that applicant has provided from the Board's decision does not apply to the product claims. As noted in MPEP 2113, [e]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. The examiner maintains the rejections.